

**Butler, David**

253220

**From:** Butler, David  
**Sent:** Friday, October 31, 2014 2:03 PM  
**To:** 'Pete Roe'; Jack Pringle; Bateman, Andrew; Hammonds, Lessie; bettye.j.willis@windstream.com; Randy Moody  
**Cc:** Matthews, Judy; Boyd, Jocelyn  
**Subject:** RE: Total Maintenance Solutions South, Inc., Petitioner v. Windstream Communications, Inc., Respondent - Docket No. 2014-354-C

Jack:

Your request is granted. Since there are no objections, the parties have an extension until the close of business on Friday, November 7, 2014 to report back as to whether or not the complaint has been settled. This is granted in light of the fact that the parties have been talking and sharing information. If the Clerk's Office does not receive any information by the close of business on November 7, 2014, the matter will be set for hearing.

Thank you,

F. David Butler

Standing Hearing Officer

**From:** Pete Roe [<mailto:proe@roecassidy.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 31, 2014 1:19 PM  
**To:** Jack Pringle; Butler, David; Bateman, Andrew; Hammonds, Lessie; [bettye.j.willis@windstream.com](mailto:bettye.j.willis@windstream.com); Randy Moody  
**Cc:** Matthews, Judy; Boyd, Jocelyn  
**Subject:** RE: Total Maintenance Solutions South, Inc., Petitioner v. Windstream Communications, Inc., Respondent - Docket No. 2014-354-C

Jack: Could you please include Randy Moody on your distribution list? Thanks, Pete Roe

**From:** Jack Pringle [<mailto:Jack.Pringle@arlaw.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 31, 2014 1:07 PM  
**To:** Butler, David; Bateman, Andrew; Hammonds, Lessie; Pete Roe; [bettye.j.willis@windstream.com](mailto:bettye.j.willis@windstream.com)  
**Cc:** Matthews, Judy; Boyd, Jocelyn  
**Subject:** RE: Total Maintenance Solutions South, Inc., Petitioner v. Windstream Communications, Inc., Respondent - Docket No. 2014-354-C

David- the parties are talking and sharing information about this case, and were wondering if the Commission could give us another week to keep talking in the hopes we could reach a resolution.

I have discussed this matter with Andrew at the ORS, and it is my understanding that his client does not object to allowing us this additional time.

Thanks for your consideration, and rest assured that this request is intended as a "treat" and not as a "trick"-

Jack Pringle

Jack Pringle  
Adams and Reese LLP  
1501 Main Street, 5th Floor  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Direct 803-343-1270

E-Fax 803-343-1238  
[Jack.Pringle@arlaw.com](mailto:Jack.Pringle@arlaw.com)

**From:** Butler, David [<mailto:David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 20, 2014 11:31 AM  
**To:** Bateman, Andrew; Hammonds, Lessie; [proe@roecassidy.com](mailto:proe@roecassidy.com); [bettye.j.willis@windstream.com](mailto:bettye.j.willis@windstream.com); Jack Pringle  
**Cc:** Matthews, Judy; Boyd, Jocelyn  
**Subject:** Total Maintenance Solutions South, Inc., Petitioner v. Windstream Communications, Inc., Respondent - Docket No. 2014-354-C

To the Parties:

We are in receipt of Jack Pringle's letter of September 26, 2014, in which he notes Windstream's willingness to discuss the possibility of a partial waiver of early termination fees in this complaint matter. In consideration of this information, our Clerk's Office will allow the parties until the close of business on October 31, 2014 to report back as to whether or not the Complaint has been settled. If the Clerk's Office does not receive any information by the close of business on October 31, 2014, the matter will be set for hearing.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

F. David Butler  
Standing Hearing Officer